Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,540	ROHRIG, PETER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT J. HICKS	3781	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>ROBERT J. HICKS</u> .	(3)		
(2) ZAREEFA FLENER.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>8 March 2010</u>	Time: <u>12:25 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 6, 13-15			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Robert J Hicks/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner spoke with Attorney Flener about the following changes within an Examiner's Amendment. Claim 1 Lines 8-9 would be amended to read "... wherein the fastening ring is spaced apart from the membrane supporting element. Claims 5 and 13 have been cancelled, since these claims have been incorporated into independent claim 1, by the applicant's amendment. Since claims 5 and 13 have been cancelled, claims 6 plus 14 and 15 will all depend from independent claim 1. Attorney Flener approved of these amendments during the phone interview..